



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,823	08/05/2006	Michael Charlton Powell	0926-0001	3844
26568	7590	10/28/2008	EXAMINER	
COOK ALEX LTD SUITE 2850 200 WEST ADAMS STREET CHICAGO, IL 60606			ANDERSON, AMBER R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/553,823

Applicant(s)

POWELL, MICHAEL CHARLTON

Examiner

AMBER R. ANDERSON

Art Unit

3765

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 September 2008.
2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) 5 and 10 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-9 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-85/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/30/2006 and 5/8/2008
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

This is in response to Application filed on August 5, 2006 in which claims 1-10 are presented for examination.

Status of Claims

Claims 1-10 are pending of which Claims 1 and 10 are in independent form.

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I and further elected Species II, Claims 1-4 and 6-9 in the reply filed on September 18, 2008 is acknowledged.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "537" has been used to designate both hinge point and second "U" shaped part (See Figure 6a). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be

notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 4, 7, and 8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n).
4. Claims 7 and 8 are objected to because of the following informalities: these claims depend from cancelled Claim 5. Appropriate correction is required

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kostelac (USPN 6,108,817).

Regarding Claim 1, Kostelac discloses a hand-utility interface for use in protecting a user's hand during utility tasks comprising a foamed block body (1, Col. 2,

lines 8-14) having a plurality of finger-receiving channels defined therein (7); and palm support means for securing said interface to the palm of a user's hand (Fig. 3), wherein the finger-receiving channels snugly receive the fingers of said user's hand such that in use, the palm support means and the finger-receiving channels secure the interface to the user's hand (Fig. 3; Col. 2, lines 20-27).

Regarding Claim 2, Kostelac discloses wherein body defines a uniform work surface (Fig. 1).

Regarding Claim 3, Kostelac discloses wherein the foamed block body comprises viscoelastic foam material (Col. 2, lines 8-14, where polyurethane can be a viscoelastic foam).

7. Claims 1, 2/1, 3/2/1, 3/1, 4/3/2/1, 4/3/1, 4/2/1, 4/1, 6/4/3/2/1, 6/4/3/1, 6/4/2/1, 6/4/1, 8/6/4/3/2/1, 8/6/4/3/1, 8/6/4/2/1, 8/6/4/1, 8/4/3/2/1, 8/4/3/1, 8/4/2/1, 8/4/1, 8/3/2/1, 8/3/1, 8/2/1, 8/1, 9/8/6/4/3/2/1, 9/8/6/4/3/1, 9/8/6/4/2/1, 9/8/6/4/1, 9/8/4/3/2/1, 9/8/4/3/1, 9/8/4/2/1, 9/8/4/1, 9/8/3/2/1, 9/8/3/1, 9/8/2/1, and 9/8/1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Powell (WO 02/087406).

Regarding Claim 1, Powell discloses a hand-utility interface (2) for use in protecting a user's hand during utility tasks comprising a foamed block body (18; Pg. 3, Para. 7) having a plurality of finger-receiving channels defined therein (10); and palm support means for securing said interface to the palm of a user's hand (Pg. 6, Para. 5),

wherein the finger-receiving channels snugly receive the fingers of said user's hand such that in use, the palm support means and the finger-receiving channels secure the interface to the user's hand (Pg. 5, second full paragraph).

Regarding Claim 2/1, Powell discloses wherein body defines a uniform work surface (Pg. 3, Para. 7).

Regarding Claim 3/2/1 and 3/1, Powell discloses wherein the foamed block body comprises viscoelastic foam material (Pg. 20, third full paragraph, where it states the material is flexible but resilient, both properties of viscoelastic foam, (see applicants specification on Pg. 3)).

Regarding Claim 4/3/2/1, 4/3/1, 4/2/1, and 4/1, Powell discloses wherein finger-retaining means are provided to one or more of the finger-receiving channels (4).

Regarding Claim 6/4/3/2/1, 6/4/3/1, 6/4/2/1, and 6/4/1, Powell discloses wherein said finger-retaining means comprise finger grips provided to the one or more finger-receiving channels (4, Pg. 14, paragraph starting "Referring now"; Pg. 5, first full paragraph).

Regarding Claim 8/6/4/3/2/1, 8/6/4/3/1, 8/6/4/2/1, 8/6/4/1, 8/4/3/2/1, 8/4/3/1, 8/4/2/1, 8/4/1, 8/3/2/1, 8/3/1, 8/2/1, and 8/1, Powell discloses wherein the palm support means comprises one or more resilient yokes (Pg. 6, Para. 5).

Regarding Claim 9/8/6/4/3/2/1, 9/8/6/4/3/1, 9/8/6/4/2/1, 9/8/6/4/1, 9/8/4/3/2/1, 9/8/4/3/1, 9/8/4/2/1, 9/8/4/1, 9/8/3/2/1, 9/8/3/1, 9/8/2/1, and 9/8/1, Powell discloses wherein said one or more resilient yokes comprises hinged parts movable from an open to closed configuration (Pg. 20, first and second full paragraphs, where it states that each arm is independently movable which would indicate a hinged structure where the move from an open state, before the hand is inserted, and to a closed state, after the hand is inserted).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 7/6/4/3/2/1, 7/6/4/3/1, 7/6/4/2/1, 7/6/4/1, 7/4/3/2/1, 7/4/3/1, 7/4/2/1, 7/4/1, 7/3/2/1, 7/3/1, 7/2/1, 7/1, 8/7/6/4/3/2/1, 8/7/6/4/3/1, 8/7/6/4/2/1, 8/7/6/4/1, 8/7/4/3/2/1, 8/7/4/3/1, 8/7/4/2/1, 8/7/4/1, 8/7/3/2/1, 8/7/3/1, 8/7/2/1, 8/7/1, 8/6/4/3/2/1, 8/6/4/3/1, 8/6/4/2/1, 8/6/4/1, 8/4/3/2/1, 8/4/3/1, 8/4/2/1, 8/4/1, 8/3/2/1, 8/3/1, 8/2/1, 8/1,

9/8/7/6/4/3/2/1, 9/8/7/6/4/3/1, 9/8/7/6/4/2/1, 9/8/7/6/4/1, 9/8/7/4/3/2/1, 9/8/7/4/3/1, 9/8/7/4/2/1, 9/8/7/4/1, 9/8/7/3/2/1, 9/8/7/3/1, 9/8/7/2/1, 9/8/7/1, 9/8/6/4/3/2/1, 9/8/6/4/3/1, 9/8/6/4/2/1, 9/8/6/4/1, 9/8/4/3/2/1, 9/8/4/3/1, 9/8/4/2/1, 9/8/4/1, 9/8/3/2/1, 9/8/3/1, 9/8/2/1, and 9/8/1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Powell (WO 02/087406) in view of Pease (USPN 1,528,026).

Regarding Claim 7/6/4/3/2/1, 7/6/4/3/1, 7/6/4/2/1, 7/6/4/1, 7/4/3/2/1, 7/4/3/1, 7/4/2/1, 7/4/1, 7/3/2/1, 7/3/1, 7/2/1, and 7/1, Powell discloses the invention substantially as claimed above. However, Powell does not specifically disclose wherein the foamed block body is symmetric in form such as to be suitable for ambidextrous use. Pease teaches a spongy, i.e. foam like, block body (A) for cleaning that is symmetrical in form that is suitable for ambidextrous use (Pg. 1, lines 103-106) to make the handling and use of the body more convenient.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the body of Powell symmetrical for ambidextrous use, as taught by Pease, to make the handling and use of the body more convenient.

Regarding Claim 8/7/6/4/3/2/1, 8/7/6/4/3/1, 8/7/6/4/2/1, 8/7/6/4/1, 8/7/4/3/2/1, 8/7/4/3/1, 8/7/4/2/1, 8/7/4/1, 8/7/3/2/1, 8/7/3/1, 8/7/2/1, 8/7/1, and 8/1, Powell discloses wherein the palm support means comprises one or more resilient yokes (Pg. 6, Para. 5).

Regarding Claim 9/8/7/6/4/3/2/1, 9/8/7/6/4/3/1, 9/8/7/6/4/2/1, 9/8/7/6/4/1, 9/8/7/4/3/2/1, 9/8/7/4/3/1, 9/8/7/4/2/1, 9/8/7/4/1, 9/8/7/3/2/1, 9/8/7/3/1, 9/8/7/2/1, 9/8/7/1, and 9/8/1, Powell discloses wherein said one or more resilient yokes comprises hinged parts movable from an open to closed configuration (Pg. 20, first and second full paragraphs, where it states that each arm is independently movable which would indicate a hinged structure where the move from an open state, before the hand is inserted, and to a closed state, after the hand is inserted).

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the designs of the user hand interfaces of Arnold (USPN 2,695,999), Sandusky (US PG Pub 2001/0047534), Kirchner (USPN 2,505,409), and Nankivell (USPN 4,516,774).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AMBER R. ANDERSON whose telephone number is (571) 270-5281. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur, 8am - 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Welch can be reached on (571) 272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/AMBER R ANDERSON/
Examiner, Art Unit 3765

October 23, 2008

/Gary L. Welch/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3765